

Serial No.: 10/773,153

**REMARKS****INTRODUCTION**

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 6, 7, 12, 13, and 15 have been amended. No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15 are pending and under consideration. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

**AMENDMENT OF CLAIMS 12 AND 15**

Claim 12 has been amended only to correct a typographical error in punctuation. Claim 15 has been amended to recite "wherein the power supply module further comprises," in order to correct a typographical error that previously omitted the recitation of "the power supply module."

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH**

In the Office Action, at page 2, numbered item 2, claims 6, 7, and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for the reasons set forth therein. This rejection is traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claims 6 and 13 have been amended to depend from claims 4 and 11, respectively, thus providing proper antecedent basis for "the rectifier circuit" in claims 6 and 13. In view of the amendments to claims 6 and 13, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 6 and 13 be withdrawn.

At page 2, the Office Action states that "With respect to claim 7, 'output terminal connected to the output pattern film' is not clear." Applicants have amended claim 7 to recite "a pair of output terminals connected to the output pattern film of the first secondary winding and the output pattern film of the second secondary winding, respectively." In view of this amendment to claim 7, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 7 be withdrawn.

**ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

In the outstanding Office Action at page 2, numbered item 4, the Office Action indicates that claims 1-5, 8-12, 14, and 15 are allowed.

Serial No.: 10/773,153

In the outstanding Office Action at page 2, numbered item 3, the Office Action acknowledges that claims 6, 7, and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants respectfully submit that, as discussed above, claims 6, 7, and 13 have been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. As these claims depend, either directly or indirectly from allowable claims 1 and 8, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 6, 7, and 13 are now in condition for allowance.

## CONCLUSION

In accordance with the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome and/or rendered moot. And further, that all pending claims patentably distinguish over the prior art. Thus, there being no further outstanding objections or rejections, the application is submitted as being in condition for allowance which action is earnestly solicited.


If the Examiner has any remaining issues to be addressed, it is believed that prosecution can be expedited by the Examiner contacting the undersigned attorney for a telephone interview to discuss resolution of such issues.

If there are any underpayments or overpayments of fees associated with the filing of this Amendment, please charge and/or credit the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 30 January 2006

By:   
Alison Olenkinski  
Registration No. 55,509

1201 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

RECEIVED OF FAXSIMILE TO  
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
COMMISSIONER FOR  
PATENTS  
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005  
FAX: (202) 434-1501  
TELEPHONE: (202) 434-1500  
E-MAIL: [USPTO-EXR@USPTO.GOV](mailto:USPTO-EXR@USPTO.GOV)  
FACSIMILE: (202) 434-1501  
Date: January 30, 2006  
By: Matthew B. Hodge  
Date: 01/30/06